

GREATER  
NEW YORK  
HOSPITAL  
ASSOCIATION

# MEDICAL MALPRACTICE MEDICAID REDESIGN WORK GROUP

Lorraine Ryan  
October 17, 2011

# BACKGROUND

## 1985: Medical Malpractice Crisis in New York State

- Incident reporting – increase transparency, mandatory review and corrective actions
- Med mal prevention – physician profiling, more stringent privileging and credentialing criteria

## 1999: To Err is Human, *Building a Safer Health System*

- Medical errors in hospitals

## 2001: Institute of Medicine “*Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*”

- Health care needs to be: safe, effective, patient centered, timely, efficient, and equitable

## 2007: Institute for Healthcare Improvement Triple Aim

- Better health, better care, lower costs

# INCIDENT REPORTING/NYPORTS

- ❑ NY one of the first states to mandate incident/adverse event reporting by health care providers
- ❑ Serious events with injury require root cause analysis and corrective action implementation
- ❑ DOH periodically reports on lessons learned to prevent like occurrences
- ❑ NYPORTS reporting trends:
  - ❑ More procedures reported than non-procedural events
  - ❑ Some variation in reporting exists

# CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES (CMS) QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## Pay for Reporting

- ❑ Public reporting of hospital performance on key measures through the CMS Hospital Compare Web site (2003)
- ❑ CMS' goal to incentivize improved performance and inform consumer health care decisions
- ❑ Over time the measures have been expanded
  - ❑ Process measures, such as aspirin on arrival and at discharge for cardiac patients
  - ❑ 30-day risk adjusted mortality rates for AMI, heart failure and pneumonia
  - ❑ Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) patient experience of care
  - ❑ 30-day risk adjusted readmission rates

# CMS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CONT'D)

## Pay for Performance

- Health Care-Acquired Conditions (HACs)
  - Medicare – Never events → HACs
  - NY Medicaid – Serious adverse events (2008) → Medicaid HACs (2011)
- Value-Based Purchasing – FY 2013
- Readmissions Penalties
  - Medicare – FY 2013
  - Medicaid – Discharges July 2010 →

# ADVERSE EVENTS WITH PAYMENT IMPACT

Measure	Medicare			Medicaid
	DRG Suppression Policy	IPPS Hospital IQR	VBP	NY SAE Policy*
Foreign Object Retained After Surgery	✓	✓	✓	✓
Air Embolism	✓	✓	✓	✓
Blood Incompatibility	✓	✓	✓	✓
Stage III and IV Pressure Ulcers	✓	✓	✓	✓
Falls and Trauma	✓	✓	✓	✓
Manifestations of Poor Glycemic Control	✓	✓	✓	✓
Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection	✓	✓	✓	✓
Vascular Catheter-Associated Infection	✓	✓	✓	✓
Surgical Site Infection	✓			✓
Deep Vein Thrombosis/Pulmonary Embolism	✓			✓

\* Excludes pediatric and obstetric cases

# 2008 GAO REPORT ON ADVERSE EVENTS IN HOSPITALS: KEY ISSUES

- ❑ Incidence of events varies widely; measurement is difficult
- ❑ Impact of non-payment policies for adverse events
  - ❑ Incentive to reduce incidents
- ❑ Barriers to complete reporting
- ❑ Underreporting exists, however, it is not necessary to report every event to improve practices
- ❑ Public reporting of adverse events can drive improvement, but may inhibit full disclosure

# GAO REPORT ON ADVERSE EVENTS: KEY ISSUES (CONT'D)

- ▣ Strategies that may accelerate progress in reducing the incidence of adverse events in hospitals:
  - ▣ More rapid and routine adoption of recommended best practices
  - ▣ Data collection:
    - ▣ Standardize definitions and streamline reporting requirements
  - ▣ Expand the use of electronic health records within and between hospitals to improve communication and continuity of care
  - ▣ Monitor the impact of policies to deny hospitals payment for cases complicated by selected adverse events

# GNYHA ADDRESSES CMS POLICY, GAO RECOMMENDATIONS

## Collaborative quality improvement model

- ❑ A structured process within which hospitals apply evidence-based medicine and practices to clinical targets
- ❑ Provides access to clinical expertise and operational solutions to overcome implementation barriers
- ❑ Requires organizational commitment to:
  - ❑ Create and promote a culture of safety, including full and complete reporting of adverse events – transparency drives improvement
  - ❑ Adopt a “bundle” of evidence-based best practices/strategies to effect and sustain improvement
  - ❑ Provide the resources to support staff participation
    - ❑ Multi-disciplinary team training and educational programs
  - ❑ Collect and act upon data to drive improvement
  - ❑ Share successful improvement strategies

# TARGETING MED MAL DRIVERS

## Perinatal Safety Interventions

- ❑ Crew Resource Management (CRM) or other team training programs
- ❑ Standardized EFM interpretation and required examination
- ❑ Drills of simulated maternal and fetal emergencies
- ❑ Culture of safety surveys
- ❑ Peer review and anonymous event reporting

# PERINATAL SAFETY INTERVENTIONS: EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION

Organizations	Outcomes
Yale-New Haven Hospital and MCIC Vermont, Inc. <sup>1</sup>	-Statistically significant decrease in Adverse Outcome Index -Percentage of respondents reporting “good teamwork climate” on SAQ score improved from 38.5% to 55.4%
Catholic Healthcare Partners (CHP) <sup>2</sup>	-Decreased birth trauma rates from 5.0 to 0.17 per 1,000 births -Average cost per obstetrical claim decreased from \$1 million to <\$500,000
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center <sup>3</sup>	-23.0% decrease in adverse events -Nearly 62% decrease in number of high-severity adverse events claims
North Bronx Healthcare Network <sup>4</sup>	-Decreased rate of deliveries complicated by shoulder dystocia from 4% (in 2008) to 1.4% -Negligible rates of Erb’s Palsy (0.4% in 2008 to 0.08%) -Decreased number of overall adverse occurrences from 80/month to 35/month
North Shore-LIJ Health System <sup>5</sup>	-Statistically significant decrease in Modified Adverse Outcome Index -Significant decrease in returns to OR, birth trauma

<sup>1</sup>Pettker C.M., Thung S.F., Norwitz E.R., et al. “Impact of a comprehensive patient safety strategy on obstetric adverse events.” *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* (2009): 200:492.e1-492.e8.  
<sup>2</sup>Simpson K.R., Kortz C.C., Knox E. “A comprehensive perinatal patient safety program to reduce preventable adverse outcomes and costs of liability claims.” *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety* 35, no. 11 (2009):565-574.  
<sup>3</sup>Pratt S.D., Mann S., Salisbury M., et al. “Impact of CRM-Based Team Training on Obstetric Outcomes and Clinicians’ Patient Safety Attitudes.” *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety* 33, no. 12 (2007): 720-725.  
<sup>4</sup>Press Release. NBHN’s Women’s Health Service Receives Prominent National Association of Public Hospitals and Health Systems Safety Net Patient Safety Award. July 2011. <http://www.nyc.gov/html/hhc/html/pressroom/press-release-20110720-nbhn-award.shtml>  
<sup>5</sup>Wagner B., Meirowitz N., Shah J., et al. “Comprehensive Perinatal Safety Initiative to Reduce Adverse Obstetric Events.” *Journal for Healthcare Quality* (2011): 1-10.

# GNYHA PERINATAL SAFETY COLLABORATIVE INCORPORATES PROVEN PRACTICES

- ❑ Goal: To reduce adverse events/injury
  - ❑ Implementation of evidence-based bundle of protocols and practices to standardize and reduce variation
  - ❑ Widespread dissemination and adherence to protocols
  - ❑ Teamwork and communication using standardized nomenclature (specifically around EFM interpretation)
    - ❑ Use of simulation resources to train and drill on obstetric emergencies
  - ❑ Empower frontline staff to initiate early interventions, escalate cases
  - ❑ Engage senior leadership to ensure that changes are sustainable

# GNYHA COLLABORATIVE RESULTS

- ❑ Widespread adoption of recommended practices
  - ❑ Clinical protocols for oxytocin/Pitocin, hemorrhage, shoulder dystocia, obese patients
- ❑ Hospitals scoring well above the national average on safety culture of labor and delivery units
  - ❑ Implementation of effective escalation policies
- ❑ Effective and sustainable multi-disciplinary EFM training and proficiency testing
- ❑ Individual hospital successes

# APPLYING GNYHA MODEL TO OTHER MED MAL DRIVERS

## Surgical Safety Focus

### □ Goals:

- To improve communication among the OR team and reduce variation in practices among individual surgeons
- To reduce surgical complications

### □ How:

- Widespread adoption and effective use of a standard surgical checklist

### □ Measurement:

- Regular monitoring of organizational practices (adherence to checklists, time outs, site marking), safety culture, and surgical complications including surgical site infections (SSIs)

# OTHER GNYHA AREAS OF FOCUS (CONT'D)

## Infection Prevention and Reduction

- Goal: To reduce health care-associated infections (HAIs)
  - *Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infections Collaborative*
    - *Results:* Participating hospitals decreased ICU CLABSI rates on average 54%.
  - *Clostridium difficile Collaborative (C.difficile)*
    - Participating hospitals experienced a statistically significant reduction in the rate of hospital associated *C.difficile* infections over a period of 16 months.

# INFECTION PREVENTION AND REDUCTION

## □ Supporting public reporting of HAI rates

- NYS Public Health Law requires acute care hospitals in NYS to report select HAIs to the DOH (2007)
- Current HAI indicators: CLABSIs; SSIs following coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), colon, hip replacement; *C.difficile* infection
  - Highlights from the 4<sup>th</sup> NYS HAI Report ( 2010 data)
    - Overall statewide decline in HAIs
    - 37% decrease in CLABs for adult/pediatric/neonatal ICUs since 2007
    - 15% decrease in SSIs since 2007
- In 2012 HAI reporting will include SSIs associated with abdominal hysterectomy.

# BUILDING CLINICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

## ❑ **Critical Care Leadership Network**

- ❑ Goal: To implement evidence-based practices, and to standardize clinical training, to improve outcomes in critical care.

## ❑ ***STOP* Sepsis Collaborative**

- ❑ Goal: To decrease mortality patients with severe sepsis by:
  - ❑ Early identification and treatment of sepsis
- ❑ Results: Hospitals are observing an overall reduction in the time it takes for clinical resuscitation goals to be met for severe sepsis patients –a reduction by about 50% from arrival time in ED to treatment; as well as a decrease in time to antibiotic treatment.

## ❑ Saving lives through the use of **Rapid Response Systems (RRS)**

- ❑ Results: Statistically significant decrease in RRS utilization and reduction in the rate of non-ICU codes.

# BUILDING CLINICAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRAINING

## □ Clinical Quality Fellowship Program

- Designed to develop the next generation of clinical quality improvement leaders

## □ Using **health information technology** to create reliable systems and to improve patient care

- Ongoing implementation of cross setting IT systems to facilitate information exchange

## □ Responding to adverse events

- Intensive root cause analysis training (1670 hospital staff trained)
- Building staff communication skills to achieve full and effective disclosure, and apology when warranted

# WHAT'S NEXT?

## CMS Partnership for Patients

- ❑ Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation (CMMI) will lead efforts to:
  - ❑ **Decrease preventable HACs by 40% by 2013**
  - ❑ **Reduce hospital readmissions by 20% by decreasing preventable complications during transitions in care by 2013**
  - ❑ Save up to \$35 billion over 3 years
- ❑ CMS has committed a total of \$1 billion
  - ❑ Support for Hospitals: \$500 million to test models of safer care delivery and promote implementation of best practices
  - ❑ Support for Community-based Organizations: \$500 million for a Community-based Care Transition to support safe transitions from the hospital to other care settings.

# CMS PARTNERSHIP FOR PATIENTS

## *Community-based Transitions Program Goals*

- ❑ Improve transitions of patients from the inpatient hospital setting to home or other care settings
- ❑ Improve quality of care
- ❑ Reduce readmissions for high risk patients
- ❑ Document measureable savings to the Medicare program

## *Hospital Engagement Contractor (HEC) Goals*

- ❑ Eliminate Preventable Inpatient Harm
  - ❑ HACs
- ❑ Improve Care Transitions
  - ❑ Readmissions

# PARTNERSHIP FOR PATIENTS: *THE ROLE OF THE HEC*

- ▣ HECs will:
  - ▣ Engage hospitals and other stakeholders
  - ▣ Provide education, technical assistance, and support
  - ▣ Report regularly to CMS on participant engagement
  - ▣ Implement programs using consensus guidelines and materials from the National Content Developer
  - ▣ Collect and report data to CMS
  - ▣ Engage in other PFP activities
- ▣ Federal contract starting October 2011 through September 2013 with option of a third year

# PARTNERSHIP FOR PATIENTS: AREAS OF FOCUS

**Adverse Drug Events  
(ADE)**

**Catheter-Associated  
Urinary Tract  
Infections (CAUTI)**

**Central Line  
Associated Blood  
Stream Infections  
(CLABSI)**

**Injuries from Falls  
and Immobility**

**Obstetrical Adverse  
Events**

**Pressure Ulcers**

**Surgical Site  
Infections**

**Venous  
Thromboembolism  
(VTE)**

**Ventilator-Associated  
Pneumonia (VAP)**

**Preventable  
Readmissions**

**Culture and  
Leadership**

# THE HANYS–GNYHA PARTNERSHIP



- ❑ The two organizations have submitted a joint HEC application to:
  - ❑ Jointly engage hospitals statewide
  - ❑ Maximize resources, and operational, data analytic and research capabilities
  - ❑ Promote a single statewide approach

# NYSPFP APPROACH: *PROPOSED* *IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES*

## The Collaborative Approach

- ❑ **Focus Areas: CAUTI, CLABSI, OB, SSI, VAP, Readmissions**
- ❑ Clinical Advisors/Workgroups
- ❑ Assessment of practices and reassessment
- ❑ Hands on support for hospital implementation teams
- ❑ Robust measurement strategy
- ❑ Education, training, and program resources
- ❑ Regional Support—Web conferences, Office Hours, and Site Visits

## The Learning Network Approach

- ❑ **Focus Areas: Adverse drug events; Falls; Pressure Ulcers; VTE**
- ❑ A strong focus on risk assessment
- ❑ Clinical Advisors/Workgroups
- ❑ Educational programs
- ❑ Measurement and tracking

## Culture and Leadership

# NYSPFP APPROACH: *HANYS AND GNYHA ROLE*

- ❑ Engage hospitals to join the NYSPFP and reduce the targeted adverse events
- ❑ Convene clinical and quality experts to help design program activities and support hospital improvement
- ❑ Provide tailored educational programs, in-person facilitated meetings, Webinars/conference calls, and other resources
- ❑ Develop data collection tools
- ❑ Conduct site visits to help drive improvement and offer consultation
- ❑ Design and disseminate hospital-specific and aggregate data reports to monitor results and provide feedback

# NYSPFP APPROACH: *HOSPITAL PARTICIPATION IN NYSPFP*

- ❑ Formally commit to participating in the NYSPFP
- ❑ Provide necessary resources to support the team, including staff time to devote to these efforts
- ❑ Assess existing practices and areas of greatest need for improvement
- ❑ Be active participants in the quality improvement activities related to the highest priority topic areas
- ❑ Provide regular, timely reports, including details of implemented changes and data on process and outcome measures related to each of the areas of focus

# NYS PARTNERSHIP FOR PATIENTS

## Support

- ❑ NYSDOH is strongly considering the NYSPFP to meet quality requirements in NYS Public Health Law calling for the DOH to develop a New York State Hospital Quality Initiative.
- ❑ Approximately 140 hospitals across the state have registered their support
- ❑ NYSDOH, NYS Congressional Delegation have expressed their support